

The rising costs of replacing Camphill communities with a commercial care provider model in the Camphill Village Trust

	2010	2014
	Camphill community with vocational Co-workers	CVT's commercial care provider model with employed carers
Number of Villagers supported	461	368
Number of vocational Co-workers	249	94
Number of employees (of which office / managers)	156 (44)	274 (71)
Cost of Co-workers	£2.4 Million	£2.1 Million ³
Cost of employees	£3.2 Million	£6.0 Million
Cost of all workers engaged by the charity per Villager	£12,000	£22,000 ⁴
Funding from state coming in for providing care and support	Supporting People payments around £12,000 per villager ¹ . Also housing benefits.	Supporting people funding ended, reducing CVT's income for Botton alone by about £1 Million ² . Housing and smaller individual benefits.

Annotations

All costs are per year and rounded. Data are from Camphill Village Trust published accounts unless otherwise indicated.

¹ Based on just under 250.-/week SP payment per resident funded by local authority

² Based on information received from North Yorkshire County Council

³ There is a mismatch between the costs of £2.4 Million for 249 Co-workers in 2010, and of £2.1 Million for only 94 Co-workers in 2014. Costs for Co-workers in 2013 were £1.6 Million, but despite a further reduction in the number of Co-workers, costs went up again to £2.4 Million the following year, which is unexpected and unexplained and has been highlighted by forensic accountants. So far no explanation for this has been received from CVT. Notwithstanding this, CVT has used the unexplained costs for Co-workers in 2014 to create a narrative of alleged Co-worker excessive spending.

⁴ In 2010, the cost for care in the Camphill community was in balance with Supporting People payments coming in (£12,000). In 2014, despite a massive reduction of funding coming in, CVT was providing care at nearly double the cost (£22,000). If continued unmitigated this could represent a risk to the financial viability of the charity.

<http://www.actionforbotton.org/New-CVT-model-exposed-as-uneconomic-and-inferior>

<http://www.actionforbotton.org/call-for-independent-analysis-of-cvt-accounts>

Action for Botton, May 2015